# MINUTES OF THE ADVISORY DESIGN PANEL MEETING HELD WEDNESDAY APRIL 10, 2019

#### 1. THE MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER AT 12:05 PM

**Present**: Sorin Birliga, Jason Niles, Carl-Jan Rupp, Karen

Sander, Stefan Schulson, Roger Tinney

Absent for a

Portion of the Meeting: Jessi-Anne Reeves

**Absent:** Pamela Madoff, Marilyn Palmer

**Staff Present:** Miko Betanzo – Senior Planner, Urban Design

Jim Handy – Senior Planner, Development Agreements

Chloe Tunis – Planning Analyst Katie Lauriston – Secretary

#### 2. MINUTES

### Minutes from the Meeting held February 27, 2019

#### **Motion**:

It was moved by Roger Tinney, seconded by Jason Niles, that the minutes from the meeting held February 27, 2019 be adopted.

**Carried Unanimously** 

#### 3. NEW BUSINESS

Miko Betanzo noted the following for the Panel's information:

- Council's March 28, 2019 motion to appoint two Registered Landscape Architects to the ADP, resulting in a temporary increase in the number of Panel members
- New guidelines: Growing Food and Gardening in Mixed-Use, Multi-Unit Residential Developments.

#### 4. APPLICATIONS

## 4.1 Development Permit with Variances Application No. 00111 for 208-242 Wilson Street

The City is considering a Rezoning and Development Permit with Variances Application to consolidate four lots and construct 22 townhouses with 12 rental units.

Applicant meeting attendees:

EDDIE WILLIAMS STELLER ARCHITECTURAL CONSULTING

JAMIE HUBICK APPLICANT

RYAN MACLEOD APPLICANT KARI MACINTYRE APPLICANT

Jim Handy provided the Panel with a brief introduction of the application and the areas that Council is seeking advice on, including the following:

- the Alston Street frontage
- the pedestrian path to rear units and accessibility
- the finishing materials.

Jamie Hubick provided the Panel with a detailed presentation of the site and context of the proposal.

The Panel asked the following questions of clarification:

- are there 34 units in total?
  - o yes
- the financing of the project was mentioned in the letter to Mayor and Council; has it been ensured that the project is viable?
  - o yes
- are any changes foreseen to the on-street parking?
  - the on-street parking will be changed from residential to 2hr limited time parking
- does the project require a hydro kiosk or transformer on-site?
  - the hydro design is not yet determined, but if it were required it would be well-screened and located at the northeast corner of the property
- the rear building has a higher-profile, peaked roof; are any neighbours concerned about potential shadowing?
  - no, as the existing buildings are the same height as the peaks of the new rooves
- will the railings be ornate as rendered, or simply powder coated aluminum?
  - the intent is for the railings to be reflective of the era and style, so they will be custom made
  - sheet A22 shows a detailed design with a smooth railing
  - the bachelor suites off Wilson Street will have more historical style railings, with pickets
- how will storm water be managed?
  - the mechanical engineering component is not yet completed as it is not required at this stage
  - there is space in the southeast corner of Alston and Wilson Streets where the storm and sewer connections are, and where there is opportunity for rain gardens
- what is the difference in elevation from the front to the rear of the property?
  - o about 12% change in grade, and 16ft. to the northwest corner
  - flat rooves are proposed at the northwest corner, which is the highest point of the property
- where is waste management handled?
  - in the underground parking
- with both residents and renters have access to the waste disposal?
  - o yes

- where are the access points to the underground parking?
  - there are two points of access, one from Alston Street and the other between the two blocks of units along Wilson Street
- how steep is the entrance to the parkade?
  - the driveway slope will be 8% maximum for the first 6m of the driveway, then 15% thereafter
  - the exact location of the change to 15% grade will be resolved so that all three adjacent parking stalls are within an 8% slope
  - the sidewalk and Statutory Right-of-Way have been accommodated on the eastern side of the property
- what is the Planning department's concern about the appearance of the corner townhouse?
  - Jim Handy noted that the project fronts both Wilson and Alston Streets and the applicable design guidelines indicate that both streets should be addressed
  - staff welcome the Panel's feedback on how the appearance of key building elements could be improved to enhance the appearance of the development when viewed from Alston Street
  - Jamie Hubick noted that compliance with Step 4 of the building code creates a more linear building form, so articulation and interest has been introduced through the window size and design, arched entryways, and high quality finishes
- who is the architect for the project?
  - Eddie Williams of Steller Architectural Consulting is the architect and has full control and supervision of the project
- was further thought given to making the end units facing Alston Street present more as frontages, rather than side elevations?
  - multiple scenarios have been considered, but with the requirement for parking off Alston Street the current design is considered the best use
- what about the side of the building facing Catherine Street?
  - there is a lot with another existing home separating the proposal from Catherine Street
- between the two blocks of units along Wilson Street, there are living room windows facing the interior walkway; are these full height windows?
  - the windows are generally high for added privacy, and on the west side the windows look into the living room to add interest along Wilson Street
  - o there are no windows that directly oppose each other
- are the basement units rentals or for sale?
  - o they will be covenanted to be rentals in perpetuity
  - they are strata units, owned by the 12 units along Wilson Street as mortgage helpers
- the bedrooms in the rental suites are very small and only have access along one side of the bed; how does this configuration function?
  - there is a high transom window as you enter the unit, and the bedroom functions as a sleeping alcove without a door
  - o built-in units beside the bed and for a wardrobe are included
- was a sliding door considered for these bedrooms?
  - o this was not the intent, but a barn door could be considered for the spaces
- are the three above-ground parking spaces gated?
  - o there is no gate, to allow public access to the carshare vehicle

- how will the proposal's sustainability features be evaluated?
  - o the applicants are working to achieve Step 4 compliance.

#### The Panel discussed:

- desire to see the Alston Street corner further tweaked to provide more liveliness and respond to the prominence of the corner
- opportunity to mark how Alston Street will evolve
- desire for the east elevation to have the appearance of a street-facing elevation rather than a side elevation
- opportunity to bring brightness and liveliness to the corner through the use of a mural on the upper portion of the building facing Alston Street
- desire for exploration of a different colour palette
- no concerns for the proposed stucco
- need to ensure that the handrails are detailed as proposed, to bring a level of intricacy to the frontages
- the project's strength in conception and planning, including the establishment of an interior street
- need to provide landscaping to soften the parkade entrance
- caution for the steep driveway slope
- opportunity to have the parking stalls more closely associated with particular units
- accessibility concerns with the extensive use of stairs on the site
- opportunity to consider planters and ramps rather than stairs in the interior of the site
- need to incorporate the elevation gain within the design, without the use of stairs, to ensure the user-friendliness of the site (e.g. ability to push strollers and bicycles through the site).

#### Motion:

It was moved by Roger Tinney, seconded by Karen Sander, that the Advisory Design Panel recommend to Council that Development Permit with Variances Application No. 00111 for 208-242 Wilson Street be approved subject to:

- further review of the Alston Street elevations
- further consideration of the handrail details on the Wilson Street accesses
- further review, where possible, of accessibility throughout the site as a whole.

#### **Carried Unanimously**

The Panel recessed at 1:00pm and reconvened at 1:10pm.

# 4.2 Development Permit with Variances Application No. 00091 for 561-565 Toronto Street

The City is considering a Development Permit with Variances Application to construct a four-storey building containing approximately 24 dwelling units at a density of 1.49:1 floor space ratio (FSR).

Applicant meeting attendees:

WILL KING

WAYMARK ARCHITECTURE INC.

### KYLA TUTTLE CONRAD NYREN

# WAYMARK ARCHITECTURE INC. APPLICANT

Chloe Tunis provided the Panel with a brief introduction of the application and the areas that Council is seeking advice on, including the following:

- the massing and interface with nearby properties
- the entryway and ground level relationship to the street
- the façade articulation and materials.

Will King provided the Panel with a detailed presentation of the site and context of the proposal.

The Panel asked the following questions of clarification:

- is there about a 4" difference between the white panels and the cedar siding?
  - o there will be about 6" between the two materials
  - the white fibre cement panel will have a stucco texture, and will contrast with the cedar and modern brick on the base below
- how will the fibre cement cladding be supported?
  - the applicants have been working with the builder to determine the detail on the wall assembly
  - a standard assembly has an insulated wall cavity and an external cladding system set out from the wall; this will be done in a similar way
- does the wall assembly have just stud insulation with the cedar or brick layer on top?
  - o there would be an inch of continuous insulation on the outside of the sheathing, and a rainscreen on top
  - the rainscreen depth changes from being shallow behind the cedar siding to an exaggerated depth behind the white fibre cement
- where is the brick within the wall assembly?
  - o the brick aligns with the outside face of the sheathing
  - the transition from cedar to brick will likely be done with flashing; however that level of detail is not yet confirmed
- will the brick lay at a higher point from the cedar?
  - o the brick is intended to be in a very similar plane to the cedar
- could the closets in units A be moved to the end wall, to make the rooms feel larger?
  - this can be considered
- is there sufficient clearance between the bed and closet in the one-bedroom units E, F and G?
  - the applicants are not certain of the exact dimensions of the suites, but the space is intended to be small to allow for larger living room area
  - the location of the interior walls may change slightly, and other ways to put beds into the units can be explored to ensure a functional space
- was eliminating a unit or reducing the number of bedrooms considered, to add to the liveability and size of units?
  - o the redistribution of the interior walls can be considered
- what is the intent of the dark base material?
  - it is intended as a dark masonry base level, with the lighter materials sitting on top

- what is the rationale for the orientation of the address sign?
  - a vertical element was desired for the cedar accent, and the address works well within the space
  - o a number of configurations have been explored

#### Jessi-Anne Reeves left the meeting at 1:35pm.

- are the private patios directly adjacent to the main entrance?
  - the entry is protected on both sides by the portico, and the patios are on each side of the portico
  - landscaping separates the ramp from the adjacent private patio
- has the proposal been revised since staff's comments about the street relationship?
  - the first iterations did not include the 2m Statutory Right-of-Way, which, when included, triggered a redesign of the front of the building
- does BC Hydro allow for the hydro kiosk to be enclosed within wood fencing?
  - at this stage it is not confirmed whether a hydro transformer will be required;
     but if it is, it will be located within the northwest corner of the lot
  - o fencing can be used to help screen the transformer, if necessary
- why does the sidewalk curve towards the proposal?
  - Chloe Tunis noted that the current Right-of-Way is 10m and should ideally be 20m. A 14m Right-of-Way (SRW) is requested to achieve the greenway goals and create a boulevard
  - Will King noted that the Right-of-Way is not a requirement as there is no application to rezone the property; however, the SRW was deemed desirable after talking to the Planning and Transportation departments
- what is the intent for how the top of the white panels meet the underside of the roof?
  - there will be flashing in this location
  - an engineered system is being explored which would include the top, side flashing and side brackets
- what is the proposed portico material?
  - o there will be brick on the outside and cedar on the inside
  - there will also be a cedar soffit with lighting for the portico
- given that the roof will have a truss system, is the ceiling to the underside of the truss?
  - o that is the intent, and would also conceal the parapet and elevator box
- what is the depth of the truss?
  - o the applicants are not certain; this will be determined by the engineers.

#### Panel members discussed:

- opportunity to reallocate the unit layouts or decrease the number of bedrooms overall to improve liveability
- opportunity to look at alternatives such as sliding walls or murphy beds to create comfortably-sized bedrooms in units B, E, F and G
- the proposal as a good fit within the context and its ability to complement the older surrounding houses
- appreciation for the proposal's street relationship and landscaping
- desire for the finishes to be executed as depicted in the rendering, with crisp detailing and the intended façade depth

- appreciation for the effort into the design of the ground plane
- opportunity to consider wayfinding across languages in the proposed address signage.

### Motion:

It was moved by Roger Tinney, seconded by Carl-Jan Rupp, that the Advisory Design Panel recommend to Council that Development Permit with Variances Application No. 00091 for 561-565 Toronto Street be approved as presented.

**Carried Unanimously** 

| 5.     | ADJOURNMENT  |
|--------|--|
| The Ad | lvisory Design Panel meeting of April 10, 2019 was adjourned at 2:00 pm. |
| Stefan | Schulson, Chair  |